




Arable, grassland and forest soils (= upland soils) are a sink for atmospheric methane through methane oxidation (eg. potato field)



# Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in arable soils



Climate relevance: positive contribution of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation (ca. -20 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/ha \* yr) is rather insignificant in relation to the negative contribution of N<sub>2</sub>O from agricultural soils (ca. +1.300 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq/ha \* yr)



# $\text{CH}_4$ oxidation in soils

## Proximal controls

- $\text{CH}_4$  availability
- Soil water  $\leftrightarrow \text{O}_2$  content
- Availability of ammonium and nitrate in soil solution  
(Inhibition of  $\text{CH}_4$  oxidation through  $\text{NH}_4^+$  or  $\text{NO}_3^-$ )
- Temperature

# Less CH<sub>4</sub> (or more CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation) from/in organically managed soils?

land-use	CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes per acreage (kg CH <sub>4</sub> -C ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup> )						CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes per acreage (kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq. ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>f</sup>						CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes per yield (kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq. t <sup>-1</sup> DM)					
	MD <sup>a</sup>	CI <sup>b</sup>	p	studies	comp. <sup>c</sup>	MD <sup>a</sup>	CI <sup>b</sup>	p	studies	comp. <sup>c</sup>	MD <sup>a</sup>	CI <sup>b</sup>	p	studies	comp. <sup>c</sup>			
arable	-0.09	0.20	0.06	3	8	-3.1	3.3	0.06	3	8	-2.12	2.15	0.05	2	5			
rice-paddies	10.55	4.77	0.00	1	3	1097	242	0.00	1	3	156.0	31.8	0.00	1	3			

Only few studies, related to area and yield increased methane oxidation in organically managed soils, but increased methane emission from organically managed rice paddies.

# What is most effective in GHG mitigation in crop production?

land-use	$\text{N}_2\text{O}$ fluxes per acreage ( $\text{kg N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ )				GWP <sup>a</sup> $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ fluxes per acreage ( $\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq. ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ )				GWP	
		Mean	SD	studies	treatments	Mean	SD	studies	treatments	
all (annual) *	org	2.71	1.02	12	44	1270	476	12	44	
	non-org	3.14	1.15			1437	536			
arable	org	2.58	1.00	11	41	1209	470	11	41	
	non-org	2.97	1.00			1392	468			
grassland	org	3.22	0.85	2	3	1107	398	2	3	
	non-org	5.64	2.52			263	1118			
rice-paddies	org	0.89	0.16	1	3	41	76	1	3	
	non-org	2.28	0.30			106	142			
overall <sup>b</sup>	org	5.33	4.60	18	64	2497				
	non-org	6.68	4.57			3120				

= a saving of ca. 4.0 Mg  $\text{CO}_2$   
eq  $\text{ha}^{-1} \text{y}^{-1}$


land-use	CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes per acreage ( $\text{kg CH}_4\text{-C ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ )				GWP CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes per acreage ( $\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq. ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ )					
		Mean	SD	studies	treatments	Mean	SD	studies	treatments	
arable	org	-0.61	0.13	3	3	-0.2	4.2	3	3	
	non-org	-0.54	0.11			-0.0	3.6			
rice-paddies	org	180.68	27.29	1	3	6023	910	1	3	
	non-org	145.70	7.23			4857	241			

# Contents

- Background
- GHG emissions and its mitigation potential in crop production
- **GHG emissions and its mitigation potential in livestock systems**
- The potential of organic agriculture to adapt to climate change
- Outlook for future agriculture




## Agricultural greenhouse gases (without LULUCF)



Bellarby et al. 2008

# The anaerobic metabolism: from cellulose to methane



# Mitigating GHG emissions in animal husbandry

- Conventional approach
  - Intensification of production
  - Genetic improvement (more product units per animal)
  - Changing ruminal metabolism by additives and modified diets
- Sustainable approach
  - Physiological improvement of milk yield curves
  - Animal welfare aspects
  - Integrated herd health management
  - Optimized (not maximized) reproduction parameters

# Animal health and climate protection

- General health improvement and longevity
- Udder health improvement
- Fertility improvement
- Rearing management


# Health, Longevity and climate protection

- Replacement strongly depends on animal health
- Replacement intensity increases rearing days per farm
- Health improvement reduces culling rate
- Prolongation of LNo by 1 lactation leads to 23% less „unproductive“ days
- Milk yield optimum during 6th lactation!

*Impact of replacement intensity on „unproductive days“ during rearing period*


	Ø CH	Increasing longevity	
Mean Lactation No	3.3	<b>4.3</b>	<b>5.3</b>
Replacement rate per year	~30%	<b>~23%</b>	<b>~19%</b>
„Unproductive“ days due to rearing*	<b>277/cow</b>	<b>212/cow (-23%)</b>	<b>173/cow (-38%)</b>

*Milk yield (kg/cow) per 305 days by lactation number (data of FiBL project „pro-Q“)*




# Lactation curves depending on fertility

*subfertile cows (days to conception: >150d)*



↓ Date of conception

*fertile cows (days to conception <100 days)*




Milk yield difference after 5 years: +5000 kg

t


# GHG emissions in cattle husbandry

- Very complex issue, requires LCA approaches
- also emissions resulting from manure management, fodder and concentrate production incl. LUC need to be considered.
- Lower GHG emissions per kg milk in organic dairy production in Austria.



GHGE ( $\text{kgCO}_2\text{-eq}$ ) per kg milk for eight  
Dairy production systems in Austria  
(Hörtenhuber et al., 2010)

# Climate relevance of animal products





*“Please eat less meat. Meat is a very carbon intensive commodity.”*

Rajendra Pachauri, Chair IPCC, Nobel Laureate 2007




# Contents

- Background
- GHG emissions and its mitigation potential in crop production
- GHG emissions and its mitigation potential in livestock systems
- The potential of organic agriculture to adapt to climate (and global) change
- Outlook for future agriculture




# Estimated global warming



Simulierte Temperaturänderung mit ECHAM5 / MPI-OM: IPCC Szenario A1B

# Estimated changes in dry periods



Change of maximum dry periods until 2071-2100 related to the years 1961-1990